

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/781,448	02/18/2004	Barry Bratcher	N9911	3474
7590 01/19/2005			EXAMINER	
Waddey & Patterson, P.C.			PAHNG, JASON Y	
Bank of America Plaza			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 2020 414 Union Street			3725	
Nashville, TN	37219		DATE MAILED: 01/19/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	a)			
		10/781,448	BRATCHER ET AL.	V			
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
		Jason Y Pahng	3725				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	ion appears on the c ver si	eet with the correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nasions of time may be available under the provisions of 3' SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however ation. 1ys, a reply within the statutory minimury period will apply and will expire SIX by statute, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.			
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	n 13 December 2004.					
2a)□	•	This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for		al matters, prosecution as to the merits	is			
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 40-93 is/are pending in the ap	plication.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>40-87</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 88,92 and 93 is/are rejected.						
7)🖂	Claim(s) 89-91 is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requireme	nt.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on 18 February 200	<u>)4</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted o	b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objectio	n to the drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	e correction is required if the d	rawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	l(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the at	tached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Gee the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certifi	cuments have been receive cuments have been receive he priority documents have Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	ed. ed in Application No been received in this National Stage).				
Attachmer	it(s)						
<u> </u>	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Int	erview Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	.948) Pa	per No(s)/Mail Date				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO er No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/19/04 & 5/24/04</u> .	5.02.00,	tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ner:				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group III, claims 88-93, in the reply filed on December 13, 2004 is acknowledged.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 89-91 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 88 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Osawa (US 5,993,795) in view of Skerik (US US 4,907,167). Osawa discloses substantially all of the claimed structure including:

- 1. a grinder (column 4, line 66 column 5, line 7);
- 2. a juice pH monitoring and adjustment (column 5, lines 32-50);

Page 3

3. a heater to heat the juice to a first temperature for a first length of time (column5, lines 32-50); and

4. a centrifuge (column 5, line 51-53).

Osawa does not disclose automation via computer control of the above processing apparatus.

Skeirik discloses a computer automation of manufacturing systems as summarized in the abstract. Skeirik discloses motivation for the usage of the computer controlling system for manufacturing processes (column 2, line 66 – column 3, line 15) including reduced cost, improved quality, etc. Thus, Skeirik's control system is deemed reasonably to be suggested for any manufacturing process including the above reference. Columns 10-28 of Skeirik summarizes a wide variety of controllable manufacturing processes including specific systems (column 13, line 45 – column 14, line 68). The process is monitored and controlled along with the development of a historical database of measurements for any manufacturing process (column 24, line 40 – column 25, line 30). Report generation is also disclosed (column 25, lines 21-23). Thus, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to modify Osawa to automate and computer control its process apparatus as taught by Skeirik because Skerik's control system can be used for any manufacturing process including that of Osawa's process apparatus.

Claim 92 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Osawa (US 5,993,795) in view of Skerik (US US 4,907,167) as applied above, further in view of Takaoka (US 5,687,922). The claim calls for a first cutter, a second cutter, and a press.

Art Unit: 3725

It is well known for a sesame grinder to have a first cutter and a second cutter. In a closely related art, Takaoka discloses a sesame grinding device with a first cutter and a second cutter. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Osawa (as modified by Skeirk) with a first cutter and a second cutter, as such would be a mere matter of a design choice, specifically disclosed by Takaoka. With regard to the press, it is considered that Osawa's disclosure of a squeezing process (column 5, lines 16-20) is an inherent disclosure of a press.

Claim 93 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Osawa (US 5,993,795) in view of Skerik (US US 4,907,167) as applied above, further in view of Oktay (US 5,647,429). While Osawa (as modified by Skerik) disclose heating means, Osawa does not specifically recite a heater with flexible length piping. It is an ordinary engineering to use a flexible length piping for a heater. In a closely related art pertinent to the problem, Oktay disclose a heater with flexible length piping (column 4, lines 31-34). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Osawa (as modified by Skerik) with a heater with flexible length piping, as such would be a mere matter of a design choice, specifically disclosed by Oktay.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Y Pahng whose telephone number is 571 272

Application/Control Number: 10/781,448

Art Unit: 3725

4522. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM - 7:00 PM, Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allen Ostrager can be reached on 571 272 4521. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JYP

Allan N. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700